## HOUSE BILL REPORT HB 2501

## **As Passed House:**

February 6, 1996

**Title:** An act relating to public loans to port districts.

**Brief Description:** Concerning the indebtedness of a port district.

**Sponsors:** Representative Pennington.

**Brief History:** 

**Committee Activity:** 

Capital Budget: 1/25/96, 1/26/96 [DP].

Floor Activity:

Passed House: 2/6/96, 95-2.

## HOUSE COMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET

**Majority Report:** Do pass. Signed by 14 members: Representatives Sehlin, Chairman; Honeyford, Vice Chairman; Ogden, Ranking Minority Member; Chopp, Assistant Ranking Minority Member; Costa; Hankins; Koster; Mitchell; Pennington; Regala; Silver; D. Sommers; L. Thomas and Valle.

Staff: Bill Robinson (786-7140).

**Background:** Port districts are authorized to develop a variety of facilities and services, primarily related to transportation and economic development. These activities are financed through fees for services, fees for the use of port land and facilities, property tax levies, and municipal bonds.

There are limitations on the amount of indebtedness a port district may incur. There is both a constitutional debt limit and the more restrictive statutory debt limits.

Port districts, along with other municipal corporations, are also authorized to enter into loan agreements with state or federal agencies. One of the more common state loan programs available to port districts is the Community Economic Revitalization Board (CERB) Loans.

The state law authorizing port districts to enter into loan agreements has caused some confusion as to whether the loan agreements are subject to the statutory debt limits.

The statutory debt limits for port districts are found in two chapters of the Revised Code of Washington. Most debt limits for taxing districts, including port districts, are set forth in Title 39 RCW. Port districts have a separate additional debt limitation in Title 53 RCW.

The authorization for loan agreements expressly states that the loans were not included in the debt limit under Title 39 RCW, but it did not provide a similar exemption under Title 53 RCW.

**Summary of Bill:** The statutory debt limitation for port districts under Title 53 RCW must exclude the amount of a loan from a state or federal agency.

**Appropriation:** None.

**Fiscal Note:** Not requested.

**Effective Date:** Ninety days after adjournment of session in which bill is passed.

**Testimony For:** This is a technical amendment to clear up an ambiguity in the current statutes. The ability to participate in government loan programs is important to all small port districts. Even though they may have lower land values, their credit worthiness is good.

**Testimony Against:** None.

**Testified:** Pete Butkus, Department of Community, Trade and Economic Development; Heidi Pehl, Port of Chehalis; and John Fratt, Port of Kalama.